

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/551,359 | 10/03/2008 | Yi Xiong | 577132000200 | 6555 |
| 25225 MORRISON & | 7590 09/30/2010 FOERSTER LLP | EXAMINER | | |
| 12531 HIGH E | BLUFF DRIVE | FINDLEY, CHRISTOPHER G | | |
| SUITE 100 SAN DIEGO. | CA 92130-2040 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 2621 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/30/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---------------------|--------------|--|
| 10/551,359 | XIONG ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| CHRISTOPHER FINDLEY | 2621 | |

| | | CHRISTOPHER FINDLEY | 2621 | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--------|--|--|--|
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the o | orrespondence ad | dress | | | |
| Period fo | or Reply | | | | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA- misons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.32 misons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.32 p, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply in specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply the period for reply with Up statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the maining departed term adjustment, See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| 2a)□ | This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8)□ | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by the Examiner | r. | | | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce | epted or b) objected to by the | Examiner. | | | | |
| 7— | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form P7 | O-152. | | | |
| Priority ι | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12)🖾 | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) |)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a) | ☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | s have been received. | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received in Applicati | on No | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | ity documents have been receive | ed in this National | Stage | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau | (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| * 8 | See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | of the certified copies not receive | ed. | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | it(s) | | | | | | |

- Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Arformation Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S3/01)
 - Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/01/2008, 6/16/2010.

- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. __
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: _____.

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Zakhor et al. (US 5699121 A, hereinafter referred to as "Zakhor") in view of
 Vleeschouwer (US 20020114393 A1).

Re claim 1, Zakhor discloses a method for encoding a residual image using basis functions from an overcomplete library, said method comprising the steps of: a) obtaining the residual image, said residual image having a size and an energy (Zakhor: column 4, lines 31-41, the motion prediction signal is subtracted from the video signal to produce a motion residual signal); and b) decomposing said residual image into a list of one or more atoms, each atom representing a basis function from the overcomplete library (Zakhor: column 5, lines 9-28, motion residual input patterns are compared to patterns in a library to find the closest match and determine a weighting factor, wherein the specific pattern, the weighting coefficient, and the exact position within the image describe an atom), said step of decomposing said residual image including the steps of:
i) identifying a replacement region in the residual image for representation by an atom using a residual energy segmentation algorithm (Zakhor: column 5, lines 29-39, dividing motion residual signal into seek blocks); ii) creating a subset of basis functions from the

Art Unit: 2621

overcomplete library, each basis function in the subset matching with the replacement region within a predetermined threshold (Zakhor; column 9, lines 26-37); iii) identifying an atom within the subset of basis functions, said atom for representing the replacement region and said atom having parameters (Zakhor; column 9, lines 16-22, matching pursuit used to match pattern library of basis structures to energy patterns in motion residual); iv) quantizing said atom and modifying the parameters of the atom into a form suited for encoding (Zakhor; column 6, lines 25-26, atom coder performs quantization); v) encoding said quantized atom (Zakhor: column 6, lines 25-26, atom coder performs variable length coding VLC), subtracting said atom from the replacement region in the residual image thereby reducing the energy of the residual image (Zakhor: column 10, lines 36-60); and vi) comparing the reduced size of the residual image or the reduced energy of the residual image with a predetermined criteria and repeating steps (i) to (vi) until the predetermined criteria is achieved (Zakhor: column 10, lines 36-60, the next stage residual is generated from the subtraction operation; column 8, lines 21-31, the procedure is repeated iteratively until an energy threshold is reached); thereby encoding said residual image and reducing the size thereof to a predetermined level (Zakhor: column 8, lines 21-31, the procedure is repeated iteratively until an energy threshold is reached).

Zakhor does not specifically disclose using a quadtree-based atom coder to reduce the size of the residual image. However, Vleeschouwer discloses a method and apparatus for adaptively encoding framed data sequences, wherein the procedure includes the use of first and second sub-encoding steps (Vleeschouwer: paragraph

Art Unit: 2621

[0075]), and the sub-encoding methods can be based on matching pursuits and tree coding such as quadtree (Vleeschouwer: paragraph [0088]). Since Zakhor and Vleeschouwer both relate to performing adaptive block-by-block coding schemes, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the inventionwould have found it obvious to include the first and second sub-encoding steps of Vleeschouwer with the atom based coding of Zakhor in order to take advantage of multiple coding optimization schemes so that bandwidth and buffer constraints may be met while maintaining the highest visual quality possible.

Re claim 2, Zakhor discloses that the step of identifying an atom within the subset of basis functions is performed using a progressive elimination algorithm (Zakhor: column 8, lines 21-29, matched patterns are eliminated so that remaining high energy patterns can be processed).

Re claim 3, Zakhor discloses that the step of identifying a replacement region comprises the generation of a RESA rectangle (Zakhor: column 5, lines 29-40).

Re claim 4, Zakhor discloses that the step of identifying a replacement region comprises identification of an initial region within the residual image having a highest energy, and growing the RESA rectangle therefrom (Zakhor: column 5, lines 29-40).

Re claim 5, Zakhor discloses that the step of identifying an atom within the subset of basis functions comprises determining an inner product between a basis function and the replacement region, wherein a maximum absolute value of the inner product indicates a best match (Zakhor: column 7, lines 61-67).

Art Unit: 2621

Re claim 6, Zakhor discloses that the RESA rectangle is compared to the basis functions within the overcomplete library and the basis functions that are sufficiently match the RESA rectangle are placed in the subset of basis functions (Zakhor: column 5, lines 46-58).

Re claim 7, Zakhor discloses that the progressive elimination algorithm removes basis functions from the subset of basis functions by comparing a basis function currently being evaluated with a previously evaluated basis function (Zakhor: column 8, lines 21-29, matched patterns are eliminated so that remaining high energy patterns can be processed).

Re claim 8, Zakhor discloses that the step of quantizing the atom comprises determining a quantizer based on a comparison between the atom and the replacement region (Zakhor: Fig. 4, step 96, the atom is processed after the pattern matching [step 68] is performed; column 6, lines 13-37, the coded residual signal is processed by the atom coder, wherein the atom coder performs quantization).

Re claim 9, Zakhor discloses that the predetermined criteria is determined based on a desired bit stream size (Zakhor: Fig. 4, step 94, the decision whether or not to continue pattern matching is based on the availability of bits).

Claim 10 recites the corresponding apparatus for implementing the method of claim 1, and therefore claim 10 has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 1 above.

Claim 10 recites the corresponding compute readable medium for implementing the method of claim 1, and therefore claim 10 has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 1 above.

Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
 - a. Dictionary generation method for video and image compression; Zakhor et
 al. (US 7003039 B2)
 - Video encoding method based on the matching pursuit algorithm; Bottreau et al. (US 6625213 B2)
 - c. Computer graphics; Clarke (US 7439970 B1)

Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHRISTOPHER FINDLEY whose telephone number is (571)270-1199. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30 AM-5:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha D. Banks-Harold can be reached on 571-272-7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Marsha D. Banks-Harold/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621 /Christopher Findley/